Notice of Allowability	Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)	
	10/643,839	0/643,839 ROSENAU, KEITH W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nguyen T. Ha	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall daims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate commun GHTS. This application is sub-	nis application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course	
1. This communication is responsive to 1/20/2006.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,7-20 and 23-37</u> .			
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet.	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received in this communication to file a ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAM is reason(s) why the oath or did to be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (as Amendment / Comment or in the header according to 37 CFR	No In this national stage application from reply complying with the requirement INER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE eclaration is deficient. PTO-948) attached the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.121(d).	of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I 			e
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Sum Paper No./M: 8), 7. ☑ Examiner's Ar	mal Patent Application (PTO-152) mary (PTO-413), ail Date nendment/Comment • atement of Reasons for Allowance	: 1

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 4-6 and 21-22 are canceled, based on the non-elected claims on 1/3/2005.

Response to Arguments

2. The applicant has argued that Thoma is silent with respect to a capacitive sensor comprising a non-conductive web intermediate a first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor to maintain a substantially fixed separation distance between the first and the second polymeric conductor, wherein the web, the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor embedded within a non-conductive polymer. The examiner finds this argument persuasive, therefore, the examiner made decision to allow this limitation over the prior art of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-3, 7-20, and 23-37 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 1-3, and 7-14, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a capacitive sensor comprising a non-conductive web intermediate a first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor to maintain a substantially fixed separation distance between the first and the second polymeric conductor, wherein the web, the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor embedded within a non-conductive polymer.

With respect to claims 15-20, and 23-28 the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of an elongate capacitive sensor for installation about an opening in a motor vehicle, the opening having at least one corner, the sensor comprising: a one-piece extruded non conducting body; and the body configured to substantially maintain a nominal separation distance between the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor after installation about the corner.

With respect to claims 29-30, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a capacitive sensor comprising a polymeric conductor embedded within a non conductive polymeric body, a cross sectional periphery of the polymeric conductor substantially defined by the body.

With respect to claims 31-33, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a method manufacturing a capacitive sensor for installation about a motor vehicle opening having at least one corner, the method comprising steps of forming a web configured to substantially maintain the separation distance upon installation about the corner.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

With respect to claims 34-37, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a capacitive sensor comprising: a web and first and second polymeric conductor defining a radius of curvature and the web maintaining a substantially fixed separation distance between the first and the second polymeric conductor along the radius of curvature.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen T. Ha April 1, 2006